

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,177	08/	29/2000	Robert A. Cordery	F-190	9743
7	590	10/29/2002			
Charles R Ma			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Pitney Bowes I 35 Waterview			HEWITT II, CALVIN L		
Shelton, CT 06484-8000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3621	
				DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	_	09/650,177	CORDERY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Calvin L Hewitt II	3621				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	or Reply						
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 (October 2002					
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.					
3)	<i>/</i> —		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ion of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>35 and 36</u> is/are pending in the appli						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>	· · ———						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.					
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		` <i>'</i>				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0 D-117	1 100						

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 35 and 36 have been examined.

Response to Arguments

inappropriate as the prior art does not teach or suggest: providing a register having funds stored, determining if sufficient funds are present and deducting funds from the register to provide payment for obtaining a good. The Examiner respectfully disagrees as Payne et al. clearly recite a payment computer (figures 1), determining whether a user account has sufficient funds or credit (figure 2G; column 7, lines 5-30) and recording the payment amount in a settlement database (column 7, lines 27-31). The Applicant asserts that the Payne system merely utilizes a conventional credit card account to pay for purchases, however, Payne et al. clearly teach determining if sufficient funds or credit are present. Therefore, to one of ordinary skill, the payment computer is, "... a register having funds stored therein".

The Examiner maintains the rejection.

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher, U.S. Patent No. 5,005,200 in view of Payne et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,715,314.

As per claims 35 and 36, Fisher teaches a method for obtaining a cryptographic certificate comprising: sending a certificate request to a certificate authority, receiving the certificate from the authority, including a public key of a public/private key pair and activating the private key (column 3, lines 53-68; column 6, lines 36-65; column 18, lines 32-68). However, Fisher does not explicitly recite a register having funds stored, determining if sufficient funds are present, and deducting funds from the register for obtaining a certificate. Payne teaches a network sales system comprising a register having funds stored therein that allows a transaction between buyer and merchant to take place if the user account has sufficient funds or credit (figures 1, 2G; column 7, lines 5-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the systems of Fisher and Payne et al.. By having an independent payment computer (e.g. a bank) verify a

Art Unit: 3621

user's ability to pay prior to completing a transaction a merchant, such as a certificate authority, can guarantee compensation for services rendered.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Calvin Loyd Hewitt II

October 24, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600